

Article

Boronic Acid Moiety as Functional Defect in UiO-66 and Its Effect on Hydrogen Uptake Capacity and Selective CO₂ Adsorption: A Comparative Study

Mustafa Erkartal, and Unal Sen

ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acsami.7b16937 • Publication Date (Web): 19 Dec 2017Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on December 21, 2017**Just Accepted**

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

1
2
3
4 **Boronic Acid Moiety as Functional Defect in UiO-66 and Its Effect on**
5 **Hydrogen Uptake Capacity and Selective CO₂ Adsorption: A Comparative**
6 **Study**
7
8
9

10 Mustafa Erkartal^{1,2,*}, Unal Sen^{3,§,*}
11

12
13 ¹Department of Materials Science and Nanotechnology Engineering, Abdullah Gül
14 University, 38080, Kayseri, Turkey
15

16
17 ²Siren Ultrasonik Research and Development, Erciyes Teknopark, 38039, Kayseri,
18 Turkey
19
20

21
22 ³Department of Mechanical Engineering, Abdullah Gül University, 38080, Kayseri,
23 Turkey[§]
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 [§]former affiliation until August 2016
35

36 *Corresponding Author(s)
37
38

39 E-mail: mustafa.erkartal@agu.edu.tr
40

41 E-mail: senunal@gmail.com
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Keywords:** metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), UiO-66, boronic acid, hysteric
51 adsorption, hydrogen uptake
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Herein, we use linker fragmentation approach to introduce boronic acid moieties as functional defects into Zr-based metal–organic frameworks (UiO-66). Our findings show that the amount of permanently incorporated boronic acid containing ligand is directly dependent on the synthesis method. The accessible boronic acid moieties in the pore surfaces significantly improve the hydrogen uptake values, which are 3.10 wt% and 3.44 wt% at 21 bar, 77 K for DMF/H₂O and DMF/HCl synthesis methods, respectively. Also, CO₂ selectivity of the resulting MOFs over N₂ and CH₄ significantly increases due to the quadrupolar interaction between active surfaces and CO₂ molecules. To the best of our knowledge, both hydrogen storage and selectivity of CO₂ for UiO-66 are the highest reported values in the literature to date. Furthermore, another striking result emerged from the high pressure hydrogen uptake isotherms is the direct correlation between defects and hysteric adsorption behavior, which may be resulted in shifting from rigidity to flexibility of framework due to the uncoordinated sites.

1. Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are crystalline porous materials constructed from a combination of coordinatively bonded multitopic organic linkers and metal/metal cluster nodes. Unlike many other inorganic porous materials, MOFs have permanent high surface areas, tunable pore sizes, and tailorable framework architectures.¹⁻⁵ Due to such intriguing properties, MOFs have attracted tremendous attention in gas storage/separation,⁶⁻¹⁰ catalysis,¹¹⁻¹² drug delivery,¹³⁻¹⁴ and electrochemical applications.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ One of the most conspicuous features of MOFs is the modular nature - that is, their properties can be finely tuned by using a substituted organic ligand and secondary building units (SBUs).

Post-synthetic modification (PSM) has emerged as efficacious approach for fine-tuning physical and chemical properties of framework for desired applications.²⁰⁻²² Unfortunately, every so often it has been found that linker modifications bring about a significant decrease in specific surface areas and pore volumes, and generally deterioration in thermal stability of frameworks.²³⁻²⁴ Alternatively, one convenient synthesis method to design MOFs with desired functional sites is mixed-ligand approach in which a parent ligand and a fragment ligand with different functional groups are employed during a synthesis. The obtained novel MOFs not only maintain the isostructure of the parent frameworks, but also they can show similar thermal and chemical stabilities comparable to parent frameworks.²⁵⁻²⁸ Thus far, this approach has been implemented on numerous MOFs, which include MOF-5,²⁹ MILs,³⁰⁻³¹ HKUST-1,³² ZIFs,³³ and UiO-66.³⁴ A few of the common outputs of all these reports can be listed as follows: (i) topology of the MOFs is maintained; (ii) depending on the functional groups of fragment ligand, frameworks gain specific properties for particular purpose, such as catalytic, sorption and electrochemical; (iii) incorporation of daughter ligands are highly affected by synthesis procedure; (iv) ratio between linkers alters the physical and chemical properties of frameworks.^{25-27, 29-34}

UiO-66(Zr), consist of $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ clusters and terephthalic acid (BDC), has recently attracted considerable interest due to its porosity, ease of synthesis, low cost, and most importantly its high thermal, mechanical and chemical stability.³⁵ In spite of this

1
2
3 attractiveness, reported specific gas adsorption values for unfunctionalized and/or
4 defect free UiO-66 are very modest.³⁶ Lillerud and co-workers have addressed this fact
5 to its relative low surface area and lack of functional groups on BDC linkers.³⁷ On the
6 other hand, Zr₆-MOFs are very tolerant of structural defects, which make them ideal
7 candidate for synthesizing mixed-ligand MOFs.³⁸⁻³⁹ A growing body of literature has
8 examined the creation and controlling of missing linker/cluster defects in UiO-66 and
9 functionalizing of UiO-66 by mixing-ligand approach. In most of these studies, defects
10 and heterogeneities noticeably enhance the adsorption properties and catalytic
11 activities.³⁷⁻⁴¹

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 In this study we report the incorporation of active boronic acid moieties into UiO-66 by
21 mixed-ligand approach. To this end, an inexpensive commercially available
22 4-carboxyphenylboronic acid (CPB) was utilized as fragment ligand to obtain the
23 corresponding mixed-ligand MOF, UiO-66B. Since CPB is structurally very similar to
24 BDC -rodlike ligand structure, their lengths, etc.- it can be easily incorporated into the
25 framework without/less distortions and will provide the desired functionality to the
26 framework owing to the uncoordinated boronic acid group on it. That is, the active
27 boronic acid moieties in the pore surfaces may increase the hydrogen uptake capacity
28 of the resulting MOF due to the hydrogen affinity of boron. Furthermore, the quadrupolar
29 interaction between boronic acid groups and CO₂ molecules can enhance the CO₂
30 capture capabilities of the resulting MOFs.

31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 **2. Experimental Section**

40 41 42 **2.1. Materials**

43 Zirconium (IV) chloride (ZrCl₄, 99.5%), terephthalic acid (BDC, 98%), 4-carboxyphenyl
44 boronic acid (CPB, 97%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Hydrochloric acid (HCl,
45 fuming 37%), dimethylformamide (DMF), ethanol, deuterium oxide (D₂O, 99.9%) were
46 purchased from Merck. Benzoic acid (99.5%) and sodium hydroxide (98%) were
47 purchased from Sigma Aldrich. All chemicals were used without further purification.

48 49 50 51 52 **2.2. Synthesis of MOFs**

53 In the literature, there are several successful synthetic methods for the synthesis of
54 defect-free and defective UiO-66 type MOFs. Among them, two different procedures
55
56
57

were chosen for this study to implement mixed-ligand approach. Through synthesizing of the first set, the route used is as reported by Chavan *et al* with a small modification.³⁴ The precursors were prepared in the beakers by successively mixing DMF, ZrCl₄, H₂O, and linker(s) with a molar ratio of 350:1:1.3:1, respectively. The molar ratio of ligands were altered according to BDC (4.3(1-x) mmol) and CPB (4.3x mmol, x=0, 0.13, 0.25, 0.38, 0.50), and five different samples were obtained. The precursors then transferred to 200 ml screw cap bottles and placed in an isothermal oven at 100 °C for 72 h. The obtained microcrystalline product, after cooling down room temperature, separated from the mother liquid by centrifugation and washed with fresh DMF for four times. The residual solvents were removed from pores by heating the samples at 200 °C for 24 h. All characterizations were performed on these activated materials. Second set samples have been prepared following a reported route by Katz *et al*.⁴² More details for both syntheses can be found in Supporting Information. Hereafter, pure phase and mixed-ligand samples for the first set are denoted by UiO-66 and UiO-66B, and for the second set UiO-66-HCl and UiO-66B-HCl, respectively.

2.3. Instrumentation for Physicochemical Measurements

Infrared spectra of all samples were collected by using Attenuated Total Reflectance Fourier Transform Spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) on Thermo Nicolet 6700. Spectra were obtained with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution between 400–4000 cm⁻¹. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained using a Rigaku Ultima-IV powder X-ray diffractometer equipped Cu-K α radiation. Measurements were performed over a range of 2°<2 θ <50 in 0.02 step size with a scanning rate of 1 deg/min. Thermal analysis measurements were carried out on a Setaram Labsys TG/DT system, between 25-700 °C with a 10 °C/min heating rate under N₂ flow. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were conducted using a Thermo Scientific K α X-ray photoelectron spectrometer system. The morphologies of the obtained samples were examined by Zeiss EVO LS 10. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 spectrometer (1H, 400 MHz). For a typical measurement, 20 mg powder MOF was dissolved in 1 M 600 μ L NaOH/D₂O stock solution. Then, the solution was sonicated for 30 minutes and held at room temperature for 24 h. Dissolved samples were filtered using PTFE filters with a pore size of 0.45 μ m,


1
2
3 then put into NMR tube for the measurement, and ^1H NMR spectra were obtained by
4 locking the sample to D_2O .
5
6

7 8 **2.4. Gas Adsorption Measurements**

9 For all measurements, about 100-200 mg of resulting MOF was transferred into tared
10 sample holder, and then samples were degassed at 150 °C for 15 h by Micrometrics
11 SmartPrep. After weighed, nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and other low
12 pressure measurements for H_2 , CH_4 and CO_2 were obtained on Micromeritics Tristar II
13 Plus surface area and porosity analyzer at desired temperatures. Each measurement
14 was carried out at least two times for the accuracy. To obtain the desired temperatures
15 of -196 °C, 0 °C, and 25 °C the dewar was filled with liquid nitrogen, ice-distilled water
16 mixture, and distilled water, respectively. The filler rod was also used for all
17 measurements. The post-processing calculations and derivations on isotherms were
18 obtained using Microactive Version 2.02 software. High-pressure adsorption
19 measurements in the range of 0-60 bar were carried out on a Micrometrics HPVA-II
20 High Pressure Volumetric Analyzer. Typically, 250-350 mg activated sample was
21 transferred into tared 2-cc stainless steel sample holder, and then degassed at 150 °C
22 for 15 h on activation port of the instrument. Afterward, the H_2 adsorption isotherms
23 were recorded at 77 K for each sample.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Structure



Scheme 1. Schematic presentations for synthesise procedure of pure phase UiO-66 and mixed-ligand UiO-66B

The phase pure UiO-66 and mixed-ligand UiO-66B MOFs were synthesized from $ZrCl_4$ and a mixture of BDC (parent ligand) and CPB (fragment ligand) via hydrothermal synthesis method (Scheme 1).³⁴ In order to seek the maximum incorporation of boronic acid into framework without changing crystal structure of UiO-66, different feed ratios of BDC to CPB were employed. Changes in crystal structure and crystallinity of the mixed ligand MOFs with BDC/CPB feed ratios from 0.87:0.13 to 0.50:0.50 were investigated by using PXRD technique. The XRD patterns of all samples are consistent with the simulated and experimental pure phase pattern of UiO-66, which means UiO-66B mixed ligand MOFs crystallized in the same structure as the pure phase UiO-66, namely the

obtained MOFs are isostructure of the parent framework (Figure 1).^{31, 34} On the other hand, the diffraction peaks in the pattern are broadened and weakened as the CPB content increases. It is very likely that the crystallinity of the frameworks decreases due to the uncoordinated sites with an increasing boronic acid moiety in the framework.³¹ However, in the MOFs synthesized using the HCl acid, there is no change in the XRD peaks despite the relatively higher CPB ligand (BDC/CPB, 0.25:0.75) and is compatible with the pure phase UiO-66 structure (Figure S3) The main reason for this is the introduction of much less CPB ligand, which is confirmed by ¹H NMR data, in the synthesis of the MOF with HCl procedure. In addition, SEM micrographs have demonstrated that there is no significant change in crystal morphologies with the incorporation of CPB into structures (Figure S4).




Figure 1. PXRD patterns of simulated UiO-66, pure phase UiO-66 and mixed ligand UiO-66B in different feed ratios of BDC to CPB from (0.87:0.13) to (0.50:0.50).

1
2
3 To qualitatively and accurately ascertain the amount of CPB in mixed ligand
4 synthesized UiO-66, ^1H NMR spectra were recorded. Our steps proceed very much in
5 the same way as described by Lillerud.³⁷ A certain amount of all five samples in the
6 series and small amount of BDC and CPB ligands were digested in 1 M NaOH/D₂O. In
7 this deuterated digestion medium, MOFs were disassembled, organic components in
8 the frameworks (linkers, residual solvents, etc.) dissolved in the medium while metallic
9 parts were precipitated. It is apparent from Figure 2a that BDC has a singlet at 7.69
10 ppm corresponding to four equivalent non-labile protons on its benzene ring. On the
11 other hand, CPB have multiplets at around 7.58 and 7.35 ppm assigning to
12 unsymmetrical distinct two protons (labeled H_a and H_b) for each peaks. As can be
13 evidently seen in Figure 2b, the peaks belonging to the both ligands appear on the
14 mixed-ligand MOFs spectra, as well the relative intensity of the peaks assigning to the
15 CPB ligands increase with the increasing feed ratio of this ligand (Figure 2c). The molar
16 ratios of used ligands are determined by the integrating of ^1H NMR spectra. For
17 BDC/CPB feed ratios of 0.87:0.13, 0.75:0.25, 0.62:0.38, and 0.50:0.50, calculated
18 BDC/CPB ratios through the integration of ^1H NMR spectra are 0.93:0.07, 0.80:0.20,
19 0.74:0.26, and 0.69:0.31, respectively (see Supporting Information for calculation
20 details). It is crucial to note that the BDC/CPB ratios in MOFs do not fully match up with
21 the ones in feed, and rather the incorporation of CPB into the frameworks is high on
22 saturation at a BDC/CPB feed ratio of 0.50:0.50 (Figure 2d). Additionally, the NMR
23 spectra of all samples include two singlets at 8.24 and 2.08 ppm which are assigning to
24 formate and dimethylamine come from the hydrolysis of DMF.^{37,43} Further investigation
25 revealed that synthesis conditions significantly affect the incorporation of CPB into the
26 framework. In this context, by the integration of those ^1H NMR spectra of UiO-66B-HCl it
27 has been found that CPB ligands were less incorporated into the crystal structure
28 compared with DMF/H₂O synthesis (Table S4). This would appear to indicate that the
29 coordinated amount of CPB ligands in the frameworks are strongly depends on the
30 synthesis conditions and that CPB was veritably incorporated into the frameworks rather
31 than occluded in pores. Our results are in line with previous finding in the literature
32 reported by Zhu and Lillerud.^{31,34,37}




Figure 2. (a) Comparison of ^1H NMR spectra for CPB and BDC ligands. (b-c) ^1H NMR spectra obtained on the samples synthesized by DMF/H₂O procedure. (d) Comparison of experimental feed ratio of CPB and calculated CPB ratio by ^1H NMR.

We also measured the XPS spectra of all synthesized MOFs for further investigation of chemical changes in their structures by incorporation of CPB. Figure 3 shows the typical wide scan XPS survey and high resolution (Zr and O) narrow scans. As shown in Figure 3a, the survey spectra revealed the existence of Zr, C, O, and N atoms in all structures.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ Unfortunately, we were unable to see the presence of B atom in the surveys due to the fact that strong Zr3d (183.5/185.9 eV) loss feature strappingly overlaps the B1s (187.2 eV) region, and also B1s peak has very low sensitivity.^{31,47} However, according to the high resolution narrow scan spectra of Zr atom presented in Figure 3b, the binding energies of Zr3d shifts to lower values as the portion of CPB

increases in the MOFs, evincing the alterations in the chemical environments of this element. Binding energy depends on shielding effect triggered by the electron density around atoms. Therefore, the shift to lower values in the binding energy of Zr3d (from 185.91/183.57 eV to 185.33/182.99 eV) could be ascribed to the enhanced electron density around Zr atoms, which may arise out of the weaker interactions between boronic acid moieties and Zr-O clusters, and uncoordinated Zr nodes.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶




Figure 3. (a) XPS survey spectra of pure phase UiO-66 mixed ligand UiO-66B in different feed ratios of BDC:CPB from (0.87:0.13) to (1:1). (b) The enlarged Zr 3d XPS spectra of pure phase UiO-66 mixed ligand UiO-66B in different feed ratios of BDC to CPB from (0.87:0.13) to (0.50:0.50).

1
2
3 In order to probe the thermal stabilities of the resulting MOFs, thermogravimetric
4 analysis was carried out for each sample. According to obtained thermograms, boronic
5 acid containing UiO-66Bs are as stable as pure-phase UiO-66, and all of them have
6 mainly three weigh loss step: (i) first weight loss of 5 wt% up to 100 °C due to remaining
7 residual solvent in the pores, (ii) second weight loss of 20 wt% up to 300 °C is assigned
8 to the dehydroxylation of the zirconium oxo-clusters, (iii) the last weight loss at around
9 500 °C (slightly differs for each sample) is ascribed to the decomposition of frameworks
10 (Figure S10-11).^{34,37} As expected an increasing of CPB in the frameworks resulted in a
11 decreasing in thermal stability because of the reducing of crystallinity.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18


19 Additionally, we recorded the FTIR spectra for all resulting MOFs to explore the
20 intermolecular interaction in the frameworks. Although some characteristics absorption
21 peaks of BDC and Zr-(μ 3)O, such as at around 1390 cm^{-1} and 680 cm^{-1} respectively,^{35,48}
22 coincide with the characteristic absorption peaks of CPB, a relatively increase intensity
23 of peaks at around 1380 cm^{-1} , 1000 cm^{-1} and 660 cm^{-1} , which are assigned to B-O
24 stretching mode, B-C stretching mode and, the BO_2 out-of-plane deformation mode,
25 respectively, were observed (Figure S6-9).^{47, 49}
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **3.2. Gas Adsorption and Selectivity**

33
34
35

36 To assess the textural parameters of prepared samples, nitrogen adsorption/desorption
37 isotherms were collected. Figure 4a shows nitrogen sorption isotherms measured at 77
38 K on the samples which are synthesized by DMF/ H_2O procedure. Before the
39 adsorption/desorption experiments, all samples were activated 150 °C for 15 h under
40 dynamic vacuum. All samples exhibited type-I isotherm, which revealed the
41 microporous nature of the MOFs.³⁵ This result has further strengthened our confidence
42 in the following issues: (i) the introduction of CPB into UiO-66 would not abolish the
43 structure of parent framework; (ii) CPB has been successfully incorporated into the
44 framework instead of occluding in the pores. The calculated BET specific surface areas
45 of prepared materials differ by different BDC/CPB feed ratios. Thus, the calculated
46 surface area for pure-phase UiO-66 is 1361 m^2/g , whereas for BDC/CPB feed ratios of
47 0.87:0.13 and 0.75:0.25, the surface areas increased to 1484 and 1526 m^2/g ,
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 respectively. This is ascribed to defect/vacant Zr nodes as a result of non-coordinated
4 fragment ligands. However, the further increase in CPB feed ratio resulted in a dramatic
5 decrease in BET surface areas of obtained samples. Also, the pore size distribution,
6 obtained by NLDFT method, presents that the small pore diminished by the increasing
7 of CPB content in the frameworks (Figure 4b.) The most likely cause of this situation
8 can be explained as follows: Up to certain BDC/CPB ratio (here 0.75:0.25),
9 uncoordinated sites can expand the pores by combining small pores, which lead to an
10 increase in pore volume and BET surface area of the framework. Nevertheless, when
11 this point is exceeded, less coordinated ligands become unable to support the whole
12 framework, just as a small number of columns cannot support a building, some pores
13 collapse.^{34, 38} This results in a decrease of pore volume, BET surface area and
14 crystallinity as confirmed by XRD pattern. Additionally, for BDC/CPB feed ratios of
15 0.75:0.25, one of the highest BET surface areas was achieved with HCl synthesis
16 procedure in the literature: its BET surface area is 1752 m²/g. The similar surface area
17 trend was observed in the material obtained with HCl procedure as obtained by other
18 method.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32



48 **Figure 4.** (a) N₂ adsorption isotherms of pure phase UiO-66 mixed ligand UiO-66B in different
49 feed ratios of BDC:CPB from (0.87:0.13) to (1:1) at 77K. (b) NLDFT pore size distributions
50 derived from N₂ adsorption isotherms of resulting samples.
51

52
53 In order to explore the hydrogen storage properties of obtained samples, low pressures
54 and high pressures H₂ isotherms were collected at 77 K by volumetric measurement
55
56
57

1
2
3 method. Figure 5a shows the H₂ adsorption properties of the obtained samples with
4 DMF/H₂O synthesis up to 1 bar at 77 K. According to these isotherms, while the pure
5 phase UiO-66 reaches 1.32 wt % H₂ uptake capacity at 1 bar and 77 K, this value is
6 1.58 wt% for the sample with BDC/CPB feed ratios of 0.87:0.13. The high pressure H₂
7 uptake isotherms of these samples have almost the same adsorption trends as in low
8 H₂ adsorption measurements (Figure 5b). In this case, pure phase UiO-66 reaches a
9 maximum uptake of 2.38 wt% at 21 bar and 77 K and has fully reversible adsorption
10 process. These values satisfactorily correlate with the previous finding for UiO-66 in the
11 literature.³⁶ However, the sample with BDC/CPB feed ratios of 0.87:0.13 attains a
12 maximum uptake of 3.10 wt% at 21 bar and 77 K. The most striking observation
13 emerged from the high pressure hydrogen adsorption isotherms is hysteric adsorption
14 behaviors of the samples with boronic acid moiety. In the literature hysteric adsorption
15 behavior are generally attributed to flexible MOFs, this behavior is rarely reported in the
16 adsorption of nonpolar molecules such as hydrogen and methane.⁵⁰⁻⁵² The more
17 interesting point here is that; non-defective and pure phase UiO-66 exhibits fully
18 reversible isotherm whereas defective samples have hysteric adsorption property.³⁶
19 This result motivated us to examine high pressure hydrogen uptakes of UiO-66 obtained
20 with other defective synthesis method in the literature. To this end, high pressure H₂
21 isotherms of pure phase UiO-66 and BDC/CPB mixed ligand UiO-66 synthesized by
22 HCl recipe and also pure phase UiO-66 obtained by benzoic acid aided method were
23 collected. Significantly, all of the defective frameworks showed hysteric adsorption
24 behavior. A possible explanation of this property may be that decreasing coordination
25 between metal cluster and ligands may have altered the mechanical properties of the
26 frameworks, so that the materials may have shifted from some rigidity to flexibility.⁵³ We
27 aware that it is not possible to completely illuminate the origin of this behavior with
28 obtained data and to better understand how missing linkers, missing clusters and
29 heterogeneity defects affect the mechanical properties of the framework and how they
30 change the high pressure adsorption isotherms, detailed and in-depth study is required.
31 Additionally, although a linear relationship is usually established between the hydrogen
32 uptake values and the surface areas of the materials, our study provides indisputable
33 evidence for how important heterogeneity and type of it is on hydrogen uptake of the
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 frameworks. For instance, the BET surface area of BDC:CPB (0.75:0.25) sample
4 synthesized with HCl procedure was the highest value among all materials, and the
5 maximum hydrogen adsorption value for this material was 3.28 wt% at 24 bar, 77 K.
6 Conversely, the material exhibiting the maximum hydrogen adsorption capacity is BDC:
7 CPB feed ratios of 0.25:0.75, with a BET surface area of 1512 m²/g and a hydrogen
8 storage capacity of 3.44 wt%. This value is considerably higher than the defective UiO-
9 66 containing benzoic acid which does not have functional group on it. Table 1
10 summarizes and compares the hydrogen adsorption and desorption values of all
11 samples obtained in this study. An important implication of these findings is that the
12 attractive forces between uncoordinated boronic acid moiety in the pore surfaces and
13 H₂ molecules can increase the hydrogen uptake capacity of MOF. In addition, for all
14 high pressure H₂ adsorption isotherms, sorption reaches a maximum and then
15 decreases as the pressure increases. This phenomenon is a result of increasing density
16 of hydrogen gases in the pores at elevated pressures.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5. (a) The low pressure hydrogen uptake isotherms of UiO-66 and UiO-66B MOFs at 77K. (b) The high pressure hydrogen uptake isotherms of UiO-66 and UiO-66B at 77K (c) The high pressure hydrogen uptake isotherms of UiO-66-HCl and UiO-66B-HCl at 77K (d) A comparison for the high pressure hydrogen uptake isotherms of obtained in this study. (solid and open data represent adsorption and desorption, respectively)

Table 1. Summary of BET surface area, pore volume, max H₂ uptake capacity, IAST CO₂/N₂ and CO₂/CH₄ selectivity of all samples obtained in this study.

Solvent/ Modulator	BDC:CPB	BET SA ² (m ² /g)	Pore Volume ³ (cm ³ /g)	Max. H ₂ adsorption value (wt %)	Max. H ₂ desorption value (wt%)	CO ₂ /N ₂ Selectivity (S _{CO₂/N₂)}	CO ₂ /CH ₄ Selectivity (S _{CO₂/CH₄)}
DMF/Water	1:0	1361	0.49	2.38	2.38	26.6	6.5
DMF/Water	0.87:0.13	1484	0.54	3.10	3.15	27.2	6.2
DMF/Water	0.75:0.25	1526	0.53	3.06	3.11	27.4	7.2
DMF/Water	0.62:0.38	1140	0.41	2.10	2.19	34.6	9.6
DMF/Water	0.50:0.50	1061	0.24	2.32	2.32	74.9	14.1
DMF/HCl	1:0	1640	0.63	3.16	3.22	21.8	5.9
DMF/HCl	0.75:0.25	1752	0.65	3.28	3.34	21.8	6.1
DMF/HCl	0.50:0.50	1577	0.61	3.40	3.46	19.4	6.3
DMF/HCl	0.25:0.75	1512	0.59	3.44	3.47	17.8	5.5
DMF/(50)Benz	-----	1524	0.55	3.12	3.15	20.0	6.0

1
2
3 Figure 6 shows low pressure adsorption isotherms of pure N₂, CO₂, and CH₄ at 298 K
4 for UiO-66B series resulting MOFs. As can be seen from these isotherms, a slight
5 enhancement was recorded with incorporation of CPB into the framework. The sample
6 with the feed ratio of BDC:CPB (0.87:0.13) shows the highest CO₂ and CH₄ uptake of
7 2.6 and 0.38 mmol/g, respectively at 298 K and 1 bar. However, even though the BET
8 surface area of the sample with feed ratio of BDC/CPB (0.50:0.50) is much lower than
9 the other MOFs in the same series, it still has a considerable CO₂ uptake capacity, that
10 is 1.77 mmol/g at 298 K and 1 bar. We also calculated the selective CO₂ adsorption
11 upon N₂ and CH₄ for all of the samples by using the ideal adsorbed solution theory
12 (IAST) model.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ Table 1 summarizes the calculated IAST selectivities for 15:85
13 CO₂/N₂ and 10:90 CO₂/CH₄ for all obtained materials in this study. The sample with
14 highest CPB feed ratio (50:50) show the highest CO₂/N₂ and CO₂/CH₄ selectivity, which
15 are three times and two times higher than CO₂/N₂ and CO₂/CH₄ selectivity of pure phase
16 UiO-66, respectively. The higher selectivity values prompted us to calculate the isosteric
17 heats of CO₂ adsorption of those samples. The derived Q_{st} values based on the
18 adsorption isotherms recorded at 273, 298, and 313 K for pure phase UiO-66 and the
19 sample with feed ratio of 50:50 are 27.5-22.6 and 25-22.3 kJ/mol, respectively. Based
20 on the results, higher CO₂ selectivity of the sample with the highest CPB content implied
21 the quadrupolar interaction between CO₂ and free boronic acid moiety in the pore
22 surfaces.⁵⁵⁻⁵⁶

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 6. (a) The low pressure CO₂, N₂ adsorption isotherms of UiO-66 and UiO-66B MOFs at 298 K. (b) The low pressure CO₂, CH₄ adsorption isotherms of UiO-66 and UiO-66B MOFs at 298 K.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we investigated the incorporation of boronic acid moiety as functional defects into UiO-66 structure and its effects on gas adsorption and selectivity properties. Our findings showed that boronic acid was successfully integrated into to the frameworks, and the amount of integrated ligand is directly linked to the synthesis conditions. As hypothesized, the free boronic acid moieties in the pore surfaces considerably improve the both hydrogen uptake and CO₂ selectivity properties of the resulting MOFs. Moreover, a quite surprising observation on high pressure H₂ adsorption isotherms suggests that the increasing uncoordinated metal-ligand sites in the framework lead to hysteric H₂ adsorption due to a shift from rigidity to flexibility in the framework structure. Clearly, further research will be required to prove the correlation between the defects and hysteric guest adsorption. We think that our findings might be useful for enhancing the hydrogen adsorption properties of other Zr₆ nodes containing MOFs, such as UiO-67 and NU-1000.

5. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) under the contract No. 114M988. M.E. acknowledges the financial support of the Techno-Initiative Capital Support Program under grant agreement no: 0257.TGSD.2015, and also thanks to Abdullah Gül University for partially fund this work.

6. Supporting Information

Supporting information includes synthetic procedures and characterizations for all samples, Figures S1-S20 and Table S1-S7.

References

- (1) Eddaoudi, M.; Moler, D. B.; Li, H. L.; Chen, B. L.; Reineke, T. M.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M., Modular Chemistry: Secondary Building Units as a Basis for the Design of Highly Porous and Robust Metal-Organic Carboxylate Frameworks. *Accounts Chem Res* **2001**, *34*, 319-330.
- (2) Ferey, G., Hybrid Porous Solids: Past, Present, Future. *Chem Soc Rev* **2008**, *37*, 191-214.
- (3) Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T., Rational Design, Synthesis, Purification, and Activation of Metal-Organic Framework Materials. *Accounts Chem Res* **2010**, *43*, 1166-1175.
- (4) Furukawa, H.; Cordova, K. E.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M., The Chemistry and Applications of Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Science* **2013**, *341*, 1230444.
- (5) Bennett, T. D.; Cheetham, A. K., Amorphous Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Accounts Chem Res* **2014**, *47*, 1555-1562.
- (6) Farha, O. K.; Yazaydin, A. O.; Eryazici, I.; Malliakas, C. D.; Hauser, B. G.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Nguyen, S. T.; Snurr, R. Q.; Hupp, J. T., De Novo Synthesis of a Metal-Organic Framework Material Featuring Ultrahigh Surface Area and Gas Storage Capacities. *Nat Chem* **2010**, *2*, 944-948.
- (7) Alezi, D.; Belmabkhout, Y.; Suyetin, M.; Bhatt, P. M.; Weselinski, L. J.; Solovyeva, V.; Adil, K.; Spanopoulos, I.; Trikalitis, P. N.; Emwas, A. H.; Eddaoudi, M., Mof Crystal Chemistry Paving the Way to Gas Storage Needs: Aluminum-Based Soc-Mof for CH₄, O₂, and CO₂ Storage. *J Am Chem Soc* **2015**, *137*, 13308-13318.
- (8) Wales, D. J.; Grand, J.; Ting, V. P.; Burke, R. D.; Edler, K. J.; Bowen, C. R.; Mintova, S.; Burrows, A. D., Gas Sensing Using Porous Materials for Automotive Applications. *Chem Soc Rev* **2015**, *44*, 4290-4321.
- (9) Banerjee, R.; Phan, A.; Wang, B.; Knobler, C.; Furukawa, H.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M., High-Throughput Synthesis of Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks and Application to CO₂ Capture. *Science* **2008**, *319*, 939-943.
- (10) Murray, L. J.; Dinca, M.; Long, J. R., Hydrogen Storage in Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Chem Soc Rev* **2009**, *38*, 1294-1314.
- (11) Gascon, J.; Corma, A.; Kapteijn, F.; Xamena, F. X. L. I., Metal Organic Framework Catalysis: Quo Vadis? *Acs Catal* **2014**, *4*, 361-378.
- (12) Farrusseng, D.; Aguado, S.; Pinel, C., Metal-Organic Frameworks: Opportunities for Catalysis. *Angew Chem Int Edit* **2009**, *48*, 7502-7513.
- (13) Orellana-Tavra, C.; Marshall, R. J.; Baxter, E. F.; Lazaro, I. A.; Tao, A.; Cheetham, A. K.; Forgan, R. S.; Fairen-Jimenez, D., Drug Delivery and Controlled Release from Biocompatible Metal-Organic Frameworks Using Mechanical Amorphization. *J Mater Chem B* **2016**, *4*, 7697-7707.
- (14) Horcajada, P.; Chalati, T.; Serre, C.; Gillet, B.; Sebrie, C.; Baati, T.; Eubank, J. F.; Heurtaux, D.; Clayette, P.; Kreuz, C.; Chang, J. S.; Hwang, Y. K.; Marsaud, V.; Bories, P. N.; Cynober, L.; Gil, S.; Ferey, G.; Couvreur, P.; Gref, R., Porous Metal-Organic-Framework Nanoscale Carriers as a Potential Platform for Drug Delivery and Imaging. *Nat Mater* **2010**, *9*, 172-178.
- (15) Ramaswamy, P.; Wong, N. E.; Shimizu, G. K. H., Mofs as Proton Conductors - Challenges and Opportunities. *Chem Soc Rev* **2014**, *43*, 5913-5932.
- (16) Taylor, J. M.; Dekura, S.; Ikeda, R.; Kitagawa, H., Defect Control to Enhance Proton Conductivity in a Metal-Organic Framework. *Chem Mater* **2015**, *27*, 2286-2289.
- (17) Sen, U.; Erkartal, M.; Kung, C. W.; Ramani, V.; Hupp, J. T.; Farha, O. K., Proton Conducting Self-Assembled Metal-Organic Framework/Polyelectrolyte Hollow Hybrid Nanostructures. *Acs Appl Mater Inter* **2016**, *8*, 23015-23021.

- 1
2
3 (18) Erkartal, M.; Usta, H.; Citir, M.; Sen, U., Proton Conducting Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) (Pva)/Poly (2-
4 Acrylamido-2-Methylpropane Sulfonic Acid) (Pamps)/Zeolitic Imidazolate Framework (Zif) Ternary
5 Composite Membrane. *J Membrane Sci* **2016**, *499*, 156-163.
- 6 (19) Sheberla, D.; Bachman, J. C.; Elias, J. S.; Sun, C. J.; Shao-Horn, Y.; Dinca, M., Conductive Mof
7 Electrodes for Stable Supercapacitors with High Areal Capacitance. *Nat Mater* **2017**, *16*, 220-224.
- 8 (20) Deria, P.; Mondloch, J. E.; Karagiari, O.; Bury, W.; Hupp, J. T.; Farha, O. K., Beyond Post-Synthesis
9 Modification: Evolution of Metal-Organic Frameworks Via Building Block Replacement. *Chem Soc Rev*
10 **2014**, *43*, 5896-5912.
- 11 (21) Burrows, A. D.; Frost, C. G.; Mahon, M. F.; Richardson, C., Post-Synthetic Modification of Tagged
12 Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Angew Chem Int Edit* **2008**, *47*, 8482-8486.
- 13 (22) Cohen, S. M., Postsynthetic Methods for the Functionalization of Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Chem*
14 *Rev* **2012**, *112*, 970-1000.
- 15 (23) Erkartal, M.; Erkilic, U.; Tam, B.; Usta, H.; Yazaydin, O.; Hupp, J. T.; Farha, O. K.; Sen, U., From 2-
16 Methylimidazole to 1,2,3-Triazole: A Topological Transformation of Zif-8 and Zif-67 by Post-Synthetic
17 Modification. *Chem Commun* **2017**, *53*, 2028-2031.
- 18 (24) Karagiari, O.; Lalonde, M. B.; Bury, W.; Sarjeant, A. A.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T., Opening Zif-8: A
19 Catalytically Active Zeolitic Imidazolate Framework of Sodalite Topology with Unsubstituted Linkers. *J*
20 *Am Chem Soc* **2012**, *134*, 18790-18796.
- 21 (25) Burrows, A. D., Mixed-Component Metal-Organic Frameworks (Mc-Mofs): Enhancing Functionality
22 through Solid Solution Formation and Surface Modifications. *Crystengcomm* **2011**, *13*, 3623-3642.
- 23 (26) Lu, W. G.; Wei, Z. W.; Gu, Z. Y.; Liu, T. F.; Park, J.; Park, J.; Tian, J.; Zhang, M. W.; Zhang, Q.; Gentle,
24 T.; Bosch, M.; Zhou, H. C., Tuning the Structure and Function of Metal-Organic Frameworks Via Linker
25 Design. *Chem Soc Rev* **2014**, *43*, 5561-5593.
- 26 (27) Barin, G.; Krungleviciute, V.; Gutov, O.; Hupp, J. T.; Yildirim, T.; Farha, O. K., Defect Creation by
27 Linker Fragmentation in Metal-Organic Frameworks and Its Effects on Gas Uptake Properties. *Inorg*
28 *Chem* **2014**, *53*, 6914-6919.
- 29 (28) Ragon, F.; Campo, B.; Yang, Q.; Martineau, C.; Wiersum, A. D.; Lago, A.; Guillerm, V.; Hemsley, C.;
30 Eubank, J. F.; Vishnuvarthan, M.; Taulelle, F.; Horcajada, P.; Vimont, A.; Llewellyn, P. L.; Daturi, M.;
31 Devautour-Vinot, S.; Maurin, G.; Serre, C.; Devic, T.; Clet, G., Acid-Functionalized Uio-66(Zr) Mofs and
32 Their Evolution after Intra-Framework Cross-Linking: Structural Features and Sorption Properties. *J*
33 *Mater Chem A* **2015**, *3*, 3294-3309.
- 34 (29) Deng, H. X.; Doonan, C. J.; Furukawa, H.; Ferreira, R. B.; Towne, J.; Knobler, C. B.; Wang, B.; Yaghi, O.
35 M., Multiple Functional Groups of Varying Ratios in Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Science* **2010**, *327*, 846-
36 850.
- 37 (30) Lammert, M.; Bernt, S.; Vermoortele, F.; De Vos, D. E.; Stock, N., Single- and Mixed-Linker Cr-Mil-
38 101 Derivatives: A High-Throughput Investigation. *Inorg Chem* **2013**, *52*, 8521-8528.
- 39 (31) Zhu, X. Y.; Gu, J. L.; Zhu, J. Y.; Li, Y. S.; Zhao, L. M.; Shi, J. L., Metal-Organic Frameworks with Boronic
40 Acid Suspended and Their Implication for Cis-Diol Moieties Binding. *Adv Funct Mater* **2015**, *25*, 3847-
41 3854.
- 42 (32) Liu, B. Y.; Li, Y. Y.; Oh, S. C.; Fang, Y. X.; Xi, H. X., Fabrication of a Hierarchically Structured Hkust-1 by
43 a Mixed-Ligand Approach. *Rsc Adv* **2016**, *6*, 61006-61012.
- 44 (33) Thompson, J. A.; Blad, C. R.; Brunelli, N. A.; Lydon, M. E.; Lively, R. P.; Jones, C. W.; Nair, S., Hybrid
45 Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks: Controlling Framework Porosity and Functionality by Mixed-Linker
46 Synthesis. *Chem Mater* **2012**, *24*, 1930-1936.
- 47 (34) Chavan, S. M.; Shearer, G. C.; Svelle, S.; Olsbye, U.; Bonino, F.; Ethiraj, J.; Lillerud, K. P.; Bordiga, S.,
48 Synthesis and Characterization of Amine-Functionalized Mixed-Ligand Metal-Organic Frameworks of
49 Uio-66 Topology. *Inorg Chem* **2014**, *53*, 9509-9515.
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (35) Cavka, J. H.; Jakobsen, S.; Olsbye, U.; Guillou, N.; Lamberti, C.; Bordiga, S.; Lillerud, K. P., A New
4 Zirconium Inorganic Building Brick Forming Metal Organic Frameworks with Exceptional Stability. *J Am*
5 *Chem Soc* **2008**, *130*, 13850-13851.
- 6 (36) Chavan, S.; Vitillo, J. G.; Gianolio, D.; Zavorotynska, O.; Civalleri, B.; Jakobsen, S.; Nilsen, M. H.;
7 Valenzano, L.; Lamberti, C.; Lillerud, K. P.; Bordiga, S., H-2 Storage in Isostructural Uio-67 and Uio-66
8 Mofs. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* **2012**, *14*, 1614-1626.
- 9 (37) Shearer, G. C.; Vitillo, J. G.; Bordiga, S.; Svelle, S.; Olsbye, U.; Lillerud, K. P., Functionalizing the
10 Defects: Postsynthetic Ligand Exchange in the Metal Organic Framework Uio-66. *Chem Mater* **2016**, *28*,
11 7190-7193.
- 12 (38) Wu, H.; Chua, Y. S.; Krungleviciute, V.; Tyagi, M.; Chen, P.; Yildirim, T.; Zhou, W., Unusual and Highly
13 Tunable Missing-Linker Defects in Zirconium Metal-Organic Framework Uio-66 and Their Important
14 Effects on Gas Adsorption. *J Am Chem Soc* **2013**, *135*, 10525-10532.
- 15 (39) Yuan, S.; Qin, J. S.; Zou, L. F.; Chen, Y. P.; Wang, X.; Zhang, Q.; Zhou, H. C., Thermodynamically
16 Guided Synthesis of Mixed-Linker Zr-Mofs with Enhanced Tunability. *J Am Chem Soc* **2016**, *138*, 6636-
17 6642.
- 18 (40) Barkhordarian, A. A.; Kepert, C. J., Two New Porous Uio-66-Type Zirconium Frameworks; Open
19 Aromatic N- Donor Sites and Their Post-Synthetic Methylation and Metallation. *J Mater Chem A* **2017**, *5*,
20 5612-5618.
- 21 (41) Lee, Y.; Kim, S.; Kang, J. K.; Cohen, S. M., Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction by a Mixed Metal (Zr/Ti),
22 Mixed Ligand Metal-Organic Framework under Visible Light Irradiation. *Chem Commun* **2015**, *51*, 5735-
23 5738.
- 24 (42) Katz, M. J.; Brown, Z. J.; Colon, Y. J.; Siu, P. W.; Scheidt, K. A.; Snurr, R. Q.; Hupp, J. T.; Farha, O. K., A
25 Facile Synthesis of Uio-66, Uio-67 and Their Derivatives. *Chem Commun* **2013**, *49*, 9449-9451.
- 26 (43) Shearer, G. C.; Chavan, S.; Bordiga, S.; Svelle, S.; Olsbye, U.; Lillerud, K. P., Defect Engineering:
27 Tuning the Porosity and Composition of the Metal-Organic Framework Uio-66 Via Modulated Synthesis.
28 *Chem Mater* **2016**, *28*, 3749-3761.
- 29 (44) Zhu, X. Y.; Gu, J. L.; Wang, Y.; Li, B.; Li, Y. S.; Zhao, W. R.; Shi, J. L., Inherent Anchorages in Uio-66
30 Nanoparticles for Efficient Capture of Alendronate and Its Mediated Release. *Chem Commun* **2014**, *50*,
31 8779-8782.
- 32 (45) Wang, A. N.; Zhou, Y. J.; Wang, Z. L.; Chen, M.; Sun, L. Y.; Liu, X., Titanium Incorporated with Uio-
33 66(Zr)-Type Metal-Organic Framework (Mof) for Photocatalytic Application. *Rsc Adv* **2016**, *6*, 3671-3679.
- 34 (46) Yang, J.; Dai, Y.; Zhu, X. Y.; Wang, Z.; Li, Y. S.; Zhuang, Q. X.; Shi, J. L.; Gu, J. L., Metal-Organic
35 Frameworks with Inherent Recognition Sites for Selective Phosphate Sensing through Their
36 Coordination-Induced Fluorescence Enhancement Effect. *J Mater Chem A* **2015**, *3*, 7445-7452.
- 37 (47) Wang, Y.; Lu, L. L.; Peng, H.; Xu, J.; Wang, F. Y.; Qi, R. J.; Xu, Z. A.; Zhang, W., Multi-Doped Carbon
38 Dots with Ratiometric Ph Sensing Properties for Monitoring Enzyme Catalytic Reactions. *Chem Commun*
39 **2016**, *52*, 9247-9250.
- 40 (48) Wang, C. H.; Liu, X. L.; Chen, J. P.; Li, K., Superior Removal of Arsenic from Water with Zirconium
41 Metal-Organic Framework Uio-66. *Sci Rep* **2015**, *5*, 16613.
- 42 (49) Oh, S. W.; Weiss, J. W. E.; Kerneghan, P. A.; Korobkov, I.; Maly, K. E.; Bryce, D. L., Solid-State ¹¹B and
43 ¹³C Nmr, Ir, and X-Ray Crystallographic Characterization of Selected Arylboronic Acids and Their
44 Catechol Cyclic Esters. *Magn Reson Chem* **2012**, *50*, 388-401.
- 45 (50) Choi, H. J.; Dinca, M.; Long, J. R., Broadly Hysteretic H-2 Adsorption in the Microporous Metal-
46 Organic Framework Co(1,4-Benzenedipyrazolate). *J Am Chem Soc* **2008**, *130*, 7848-7850.
- 47 (51) Zhao, X. B.; Xiao, B.; Fletcher, A. J.; Thomas, K. M.; Bradshaw, D.; Rosseinsky, M. J., Hysteretic
48 Adsorption and Desorption of Hydrogen by Nanoporous Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Science* **2004**, *306*,
49 1012-1015.
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (52) Zhong, D. C.; Zhang, W. X.; Cao, F. L.; Jiang, L.; Lu, T. B., A Three-Dimensional Microporous Metal-
4 Organic Framework with Large Hydrogen Sorption Hysteresis. *Chem Commun* **2011**, *47*, 1204-1206.
5 (53) Schneemann, A.; Bon, V.; Schwedler, I.; Senkovska, I.; Kaskel, S.; Fischer, R. A., Flexible Metal-
6 Organic Frameworks. *Chem Soc Rev* **2014**, *43*, 6062-6096.
7 (54) Lee, D.; Zhang, C. Y.; Wei, C.; Ashfeld, B. L.; Gao, H. F., Hierarchically Porous Materials Via Assembly
8 of Nitrogen-Rich Polymer Nanoparticles for Efficient and Selective Co₂ Capture. *J Mater Chem A* **2013**, *1*,
9 14862-14867.
10 (55) Wang, B.; Huang, H. L.; Lv, X. L.; Xie, Y. B.; Li, M.; Li, J. R., Tuning Co₂ Selective Adsorption over N₂
11 and CH₄ in UiO-67 Analogues through Ligand Functionalization. *Inorg Chem* **2014**, *53*, 9254-9259.
12 (56) He, Y. B.; Xiang, S. C.; Zhang, Z. J.; Xiong, S. S.; Wu, C. D.; Zhou, W.; Yildirim, T.; Krishna, R.; Chen, B.
13 L., A Microporous Metal-Organic Framework Assembled from an Aromatic Tetracarboxylate for H₂
14 Purification. *J Mater Chem A* **2013**, *1*, 2543-2551.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

